2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA 4 5 6 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No.: 2:21-cr-00219-APG-DJA 7 Plaintiff, **ORDER** 8 [Docket No. 25] v. 9 JOSHUA MARTINEZ, 10 Defendant. 11 Pending before the Court is Defendant Joshua Martinez's motion to modify conditions of 12 pretrial release. Docket No. 25. The Court has considered Defendant's motion and the United 13 States' response. Docket Nos. 25, 26. No reply was filed. See Docket. 14 The Court ordered Defendant released on a personal recognizance bond subject to pretrial release conditions on September 10, 2021. See Docket No. 13. Two of the conditions the Court imposed was home detention and GPS monitoring. See id. at 4. Defendant now asks the Court to 16 17 modify these two conditions and replace them with a curfew instead, to be determined by Pretrial 18 Services. Docket No. 25. 19 Defendant submits that he has been on pretrial release for six months and has complied 20 with all of his release conditions without violation. *Id.* at 2. Defendant further submits that United 21 States Pretrial Services stated that it supports his requested modification. *Id.* at 3. Therefore, 22 Defendant asks the Court to modify these two conditions and replace them with a curfew. *Id.* at 23 1. 24 In response, the United States asks the Court to deny Defendant's request. Docket No. 26.

The United States submits that Defendant's submission that he is compliant with his current conditions is not new information warranting a reconsideration of the imposed pretrial release conditions under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). Id. at 1-2. The United States further submits that Defendant 28 still presents the same risk of danger to others, specifically Deputy District Attorney Michael

26

27

Dickerson and Detective Ken Mead that he did when his original conditions were imposed. Id. at 2-3. At Defendant's detention hearing, the Court found that the conditions it imposed, including the conditions of home confinement and GPS monitoring, were the least restrictive conditions to 5 reasonably assure both the safety of the community and the appearance of Defendant as required, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142. Docket Nos. 6, 13. Nothing in Defendant's motion persuades the Court that the conditions it imposed are no longer the least restrictive conditions of release. Accordingly, Defendant's motion to modify conditions of pretrial release, Docket No. 25, is **DENIED**. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: April 28, 2022. UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE